

United States Patent and Trademark Office



a

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,746	01/18/2001	Ying Huang	481340010032 7984	
7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER		
David B. Cochran, Esq.			JAIN, RAJ K	
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue North Point			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
901 Lakeside Avenue			2664	
Cleveland, OH 44114			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	pplicant(s)			
	09/764,746	HUANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Raj Jain	2664			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 J	anuary 2001.				
·					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/764,746

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (US005615298A) in view of Gustafsson et al. (US006459914B1).

Regarding claim(s) 1 and 3, Chen discloses a system and method for speech coding experiencing frame erasure--that is, the loss of a group of consecutive bits in the compressed bit-stream which group is ordinarily used to synthesize speech (see abstract and Fig 1 (100)). The system comprises of;

storing successive voice packets of the packetized voice signal within a buffer 110 (see Fig 1 and col 7 lines 33-50; see claims 1 and 6);

detecting packet loss or missing voice packets via the decoder of Fig 1 not receiving a frame for decoding (see Fig 1, cols 1 lines 39-50, col 4 lines 34-55);

implementing filter with appropriate transfer functions to be used to enhance perceptual quality of a signal (see cols 24-26);

adding white noise as a correction procedure by increasing the power spectral energy and alleviate ill-conditioning (see cols 14-17).

Chen fails to disclose estimating of the power spectrum of one of the store packets.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,746

Art Unit: 2664

Gustafsson discloses estimating of power spectrum during speech pauses or breaks in packet transmission (see Figs 1, 2 and col 3 lines 45-65).

Power spectrum estimation allows for noise reduction amongst users by filtering a noisy input signal and outputting a noise reduced output signal and therefore offering a gain of the overall power spectrum and enhancing perceptual quality of the received signal.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a power spectrum estimation processor to reduce output noise and in-turn increase the gain function and thus increasing perceptual quality of the received signal.

Regarding claim(s) 2 and 5, Gustafsson discloses use of Welch's averaged periodogram method for power spectral estimation (see col 6 lines 11-63).

Regarding claim 4, Chen discloses the use of an additional switch, one operable by packet loss detector 110, 130 (Fig 2) and switch connected to the buffer 120 (Fig 1).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raj Jain whose telephone number is 571-272-3145. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,746

Art Unit: 2664

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

RJ September 17, 2004

My III.